

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	IO. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/755,673		01/05/2001	Leonard Forbes	MI22-1531	5293		
21567	7590	08/16/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	ST. JOHN		NGUYEN, KHIEM D				
	IRST AVEN IE, WA 99	NUE, SUITE 1300 2201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			2823	,		
				DATE MAILED: 08/16/200	DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Ap	Applicant(s)				
		09/755,673	FC	FORBES ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Ar	t Unit				
		Khiem D Nguyen	28	23	AN			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover s	sheet with the corre	spondence ad	dress			
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION.  MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the provision of the p	136(a). In no event, however bly within the statutory minim will apply and will expire Si e. cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely fi num of thirty (30) days will X (6) MONTHS from the n ecome ABANDONED (3	led be considered timely nailing date of this co	<i>j.</i> ommunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	June 2004 .						
2a)		his action is non-fina	al.					
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ton of Claims	ance except for for	nal matters, prose	ecution as to th O.G. 213.	e merits is			
	Claim(s) 4-26 and 35-44 is/are pending in the	a application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		ion					
	Claim(s) <u>35-44</u> is/are allowed.	awii iidiii considerat	ion.					
	Claim(s) <u>4-26</u> is/are rejected.							
_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/on Papers	or election requirem	ent.					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)🖾 -	The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 January 2001</u> is/are		•					
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			• •				
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on		,	by the Examine	er.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •	n.					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d	) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been receiv	red.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Besee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).	n this National	Stage			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			o a provisional	application).			
a	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application	n has been receive	ed.	<b>арр</b> постопу.			
Attachmen		p		· <b></b>				
1) Notic 2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PT Notice of Informal Pater other:					

Art Unit: 2823

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 1<sup>st</sup>, 2004 has been entered. A new rejection is made as set forth in this Office Action. Claims (4-26 and 35-44) are pending in the application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi et al. (JP 2000058777) in view of Zhang (U.S. Patent 5,886,364), Chiu et al. (TW 381343) and Sun et al. (U.S. Patent 6,150,209).

In re claim 4, 6-8, 10, 11, 14, 16-19, 24 and 25, <u>Choi</u> discloses a method of forming a capacitor structure, comprising (See BASIC-ABSTRACT and FIG. 8): forming a first electrical node 102 comprises conductively doped silicon; forming a dielectric layers 115 comprising aluminum nitride over the first electrical node; forming a second electrical node 105 that is electrically separated from the first electrical node by at

least the dielectric material; the first electrical node, second electrical node and dielectric material together defining at least a portion of a capacitor structure.

<u>Choi</u> does not explicitly disclose that the dielectric layer is a layer of metallic aluminum that being entirely transformed into <u>AlN</u>, <u>AlON or AlO</u> wherein the listed compounds are described in terms of chemical constituents rather than stoichiometry.

Zhang, however, discloses that the dielectric layer is a layer of metallic aluminum 32 that being entirely transformed into aluminum nitride (AlN), aluminum oxynitride (AlON) or Aluminum oxide (AlO) wherein the listed compounds are described in terms of chemical constituents rather than stoichiometry (col. 5, lines 43-56 and FIG. 3B). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Choi and Zhang to enable the AlN, AlON or AlO layer of Choi to be formed and furthermore to provide a structure in which a device is protected from light entering from outside in order to reduce an loff currents of the device (col. 1, lines 39-43, Zhang).

In re claims 11, 19, 20, and 22, neither **Choi** nor **Zhang** discloses forming a layer of silicon dioxide between the first electrical node and the layer of metallic aluminum.

<u>Chiu</u>, however, discloses forming a silicon dioxide layer 20 between the first electrical node 18 and the dielectric layer 22 (BASIC-ABSTRACT and related FIG.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Choi, Zhang and Chiu to enable the silicon dioxide layer of Choi to be formed and furthermore to prevent dielectric cracking of capacitors (BASIC-ABSTRACT). <u>Chiu</u> also discloses forming a second dielectric layer 26 on the

Art Unit: 2823

first dielectric layer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Choi, Zhang and Chiu to enable the second AlON or AlO layer of Choi to be formed.

In re claims 5, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, 21, 23, and 25, neither Choi nor Zhang discloses the transforming temperature and the thickness ranges of the resulting layers of AlN, AlON, AlO and silicon dioxide. However, there is no evidence indicating that the transforming temperature and thickness ranges of the resulting layers of AlN, AlON, AlO and silicon dioxide are critical and it has been held that it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable height of a result-effective variable within given prior art conditions by routine experimentation. See MPEP § 2144.05. Note that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed dimensions of any unexpected results arising there from. Where patentability is aid to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

In re claim 26, none of the references explicitly disclose providing a transistor adjacent the capacitor structure wherein the transistor and a capacitor structure together defining a DRAM cell comprising the transistor and the capacitor structure.

<u>Sun</u>, however, discloses providing a transistor adjacent the capacitor structure wherein the transistor and a capacitor structure together defining a DRAM cell comprising the transistor and the capacitor structure (FIGS. 1-5 and related text). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention

was made to combine the teaching of Chiu, Choi, Zhang and Sun to enable a DRAM cell comprising the transistor and the capacitor structure of Choi to be formed and furthermore to reduce the leakage current (col. 2, lines 51-53, Sun).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 35-44 are allowed.

#### Reasons For Allowance

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art taken alone or in combination neither discloses nor makes obvious the instant process of claims as a whole. Specifically, the prior art of record, Choi et al. (JP 2000058777) disclose a method of forming a capacitor structure, comprising (See BASIC-ABSTRACT and FIG. 8): forming a first electrical node 102 comprises conductively doped silicon; forming a dielectric layers 115 comprising aluminum nitride over the first electrical node; forming a second electrical node 105 that is electrically separated from the first electrical node by at least the dielectric material; the first electrical node, second electrical node and dielectric material together defining at least a portion of a capacitor structure and the secondary reference Zhang (U.S. Patent 5,886,364) discloses that the dielectric layer is a layer of metallic aluminum 32 that being entirely transformed into aluminum nitride (AlN), aluminum oxynitride (AlON) or Aluminum oxide (AlO) (col. 5, lines 43-56 and FIG. 3B) but fails to teach or suggest the Applicant's steps of exposing the layer of metallic aluminum to one or both of O or N at a temperature less than 300°C to form a dielectric material comprising aluminum and one or both of O and N as recited in the newly added independent claim 35, lines 6-8.

Art Unit: 2823

## Response to Amendment and Arguments

In response to Applicants' argument that the Zhang reference does not teach or suggest a metallic aluminum layer that is entirely transformed into aluminum nitride, aluminum oxynitride or aluminum oxide, Examiner respectfully disagrees, while examiner concedes Zhang does not specify the forming of a capacitor or Choi a TFT, neither rules out the possibility of forming other device than the few Zhang and Choi teach. Indeed, one ordinarily skilled in the art would reasonably believe that many devices numbering thousands or even millions would be formed to complete a product. In microelectronic processing it is preferable to share as many common steps between devices to lower the production cost. Further, since Zhang discloses that the dielectric layer is a layer of metallic aluminum 32 that being entirely transformed into aluminum nitride (AlN), aluminum oxynitride (AlON) or Aluminum oxide (AlO) (col. 5, lines 43-56 and FIG. 3B) and the Choi reference teaches the formation of the AlN layer, but not the means, it is reasonable, that forming Choi's capacitor and with Zhang's TFT on the same substrate would use Zhang's process of forming AIN. For these reasons, examiner holds the rejection proper.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khiem D Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 09/755,673

Art Unit: 2823

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

K.N.

August 12, 2004

W. DAVID COLEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7